

There couldn't have been an infinite amount of events before the present.

- If there was an infinite amount of events before the present then starting from the present and counting the first event before the present, onto the second event before the present, then the third, fourth, and so on, one would realize there would have had to have been an infinite amount of events that finished happening before the present could happen.
- If an infinite amount of events had to have finished happening before the present could happen then the present would've never happened because an infinite amount of events can never finish happening.
- The present happens.
- There couldn't have been an infinite amount of events before the present.

There was a finite amount of events before the present.

- Since there couldn't have been an infinite amount of events before the present, then there must have been a finite amount of events before the present.

There was a first event.

- Since there was a finite amount of events before the present, if one starts counting the amount of events before the present starting with the first event before the present, onto the second event before the present, then the third, fourth, and so on, one would eventually stop counting because there is a finite amount of events before the present and that last one that was counted would be the first event.

Before the first event there was nothing.

- If something exists, then it existing is an event as it must exist for some amount of time, so if there are no events then there is nothing.

Nothing couldn't have been able to exist because its existence poses a paradox.

- If nothing was able to exist then nothing would exist forever and then the first event would've never happened but the first event did happen so nothing couldn't have been able to exist because its existence poses a paradox.
- The fact that nothing couldn't exist must have created the first event, in which the first thing existed, so there must be something about nothing that would cause something to exist.
- The only thing about nothing that would cause something to exist is that nothing has nothing next to it, but the nothing that is next to nothing is both an infinite and a finite distance away.
- It is finite because it is next to it and if it was infinitely far away then it couldn't be next to it and it couldn't even exist because it would be a never-ending distance away so it would never know of its existence.
- It's infinite because that finite distance can be infinitely divided into an infinite amount of parts.
- The only way to resolve this paradox is to make the nothing that is next to nothing an indivisible distance away.

Resolving the paradox caused LOAs ("Locations Of Awarenesses" or points that are aware of their own existence) in certain 3-dimensional grid pattern to exist.

- The nothing that was an indivisible distance away from the original nothing was actually a location of awareness (from now on referred to as LOA) that was an indivisible distance from another LOA.
- [So two LOAs an indivisible distance apart exist.](#)

- Those two LOAs must exist on a plane since their point-like entities.
- Since the two LOAs exist on a plane they must be aware of what is around them on the plane.
- Since the two LOAs are aware of what is around them on the plane they create [an LOA wherever is the same exact indivisible distance from both of the two original LOAs.](#)
- But if you [notice something](#):

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2$$

$$?^2 + (x/2)^2 = x^2$$

$$?^2 + (x^2/4) = x^2$$

$$?^2 = (3x^2)/4$$

$$? = \sqrt{(3x^2)/4}$$

- Since the square root of 3 (multiplied by or divided by any other number) is irrational, the distance represented on the plane between the topmost and bottommost LOA can never be accurate.
- As a result of the fact that the plane cannot be accurate, the only way to resolve the inaccuracy is to view the plane from a distance, but viewing a plane from a distance requires 3-dimensional awareness, which results in LOAs being created above and below the original 4 LOAs (if you disagree and think it keeps on being created in only two dimensions <http://imgur.com/a/wTxgW#0>).
- The LOAs keep on being created in all 3 dimensions starting from the original 4 LOAs into more and more for all time, all the same exact indivisible distance from one another.

The LOAs in a particular 3-dimensional grid must have been capable of making what exists now.

- The LOAs were proven to exist in a particular 3-dimensional grid, but we also have what exists at the macroscopic scale.

How the LOAs in a particular 3-dimensional grid was capable of making what exists now shows that existence has preferences for what exists.

- If existence didn't have a preference for what exists then everything would be uniform.

Since humans have preferences, perhaps it could be that humans can at least partially affect existence.

If humans can at least partially affect existence, then perhaps they can affect their own existence and cause it to continue simply by preferring that it will, without doing anything else their body doesn't automatically do.

That's as far as a priori will get me, now to complete it with a posteriori: I have evidence (which will perhaps remain secret) that humans (or things with preferences) are very likely (~99.99...%) to have the ability to affect existence. I won't share the evidence but I hope that won't matter too much because I'm now asserting that if a human that wants to live does the nothing diet that human will not die (for what it's worth, if anything).

Humans that have stopped eating and drinking and died died because they preferred to die.